S P E C I F I C A T I O N

IBM Docket No. STL9-2000-0080US1

TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

BE IT KNOWN that We, Curt L. Cotner of Gilroy, California and citizen of the United States, Laurence E. England of Morgan Hill, California and citizen of the United States, Howard J. Glaser of San Jose, California and citizen of the United States, and Howard M. Hess of Evanston, Illinois and citizen of the United States have invented new and useful improvements in

METHOD OF, SYSTEM FOR, AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR PROVIDING AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION OF A COMPUTER PROGRAM CODE CANDIDATE FOR WEB DEPLOYMENT OR A STORED PROCEDURE

of which the following is a specification:

1

2

3 **METHOD OF, SYSTEM FOR, AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR**

4 **PROVIDING AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION OF A COMPUTER PROGRAM**

5 **CODE CANDIDATE FOR WEB DEPLOYMENT OR A STORED PROCEDURE**

6

7

8

9

10

11 A portion of the Disclosure of this patent document contains material which is subject

12 to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction by

13 anyone of the patent document or the patent disclosure, as it appears in the Patent and

14 Trademark Office patent file or records, but otherwise reserves all copyright rights whatsoever.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

## 1.	Field of the Invention

The present invention relates in general to software re-engineering, and more particularly to identifying computer program code which is a candidate for Web enablement or stored procedures.

## 2.	Description of the Related Art

A large enterprise may have a significant volume of computer application code, the enterprise's code inventory. Yet the enterprise's programming skill base to maintain, manage, enhance, or re-implement that code inventory may be dwindling. Relative to re-implementation, not all application programs are candidates for conversion to a Web interface or a database stored procedure. Many, if not most, applications do not possess the necessary characteristics for such a conversion. Discovering those existing opportunities to easily access a function from the Web or convert a function to a database stored procedure may be difficult due to the labor intensive nature of the conventional manual techniques. Conventional methods have failed to provide adequate solutions to these problems. Thus, there is a clearly felt need for a method of, system for, article of manufacture for, and computer program product for identifying computer program code which is a candidate for Web enablement or stored procedures.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention comprises a method, system, computer program product, and article of manufacture for identifying computer program code which is a candidate for Web enablement or stored procedures. Source code corresponding to computer program code is scanned and parsed to determine static information concerning the computer program code. The static information is stored in a database. Dynamic information concerning the computer program code during an execution of the computer program code is also collected and stored in the database. Responsive to the static information and dynamic information stored in the database, relationships and dependencies are then developed and stored in the database. The database may then be queried to produce a set of potential candidates of computer program code meeting a constraint of the query. If insufficient candidates are returned by the query, then the query constraint may be relaxed, and the query repeated.

A candidate for re-implementation as a database stored procedure call may be identified by a query searching the database for a portion of the computer program code having static information indicating that the portion of the computer program code contains a number, above a specified first threshold, of calls to a database management system, and having dynamic information indicating that the portion of the computer program code is subject to a number of calls, above a specified second threshold, by another portion of computer program code.

A candidate for re-implementation as a Web-enabling interface call may be identified by a query searching the database for a portion of the computer program code having static information indicating that the portion of the computer program contains a transaction and does not contain screen output related program code.

The placement of this information capture, classification, and relationship/dependency discovery into a database , the Application Knowledge Base, that can be queried may provide significant advantages to a user. For an enterprise, this process may be performed across the

1   entire enterprise, encompassing all of the enterprise's inventory of application programs. The

2   details of the enterprise's application program inventory may be saved and queried in the

3   application knowledge base. These details may include system and sub-system specific

4   information. In addition to queries for identification of candidate computer code, the

5   application knowledge base may simply be queried for application characteristics.

6

7   Unlike simple conventional string matching or pattern matching approaches, the present

8   invention discovers relationships and dependencies within the computer program code. For

9   example, a COBOL dynamic CALL holding the called routine's name in a variable may be

10 analyzed by data flow analysis to determine the potential values of the target called routine.

11 Dynamic information obtained during the execution of the COBOL dynamic CALL may

12 augment the static information obtained through the static analysis and data flow analysis.

13

14 One aspect of a preferred embodiment of the present invention identifies computer

15 program code which is a candidate meeting a query constraint.

16

17 Another aspect of a preferred embodiment of the present invention identifies computer

18 program code which is a candidate for a stored procedure.

19

20 Another aspect of a preferred embodiment of the present invention identifies computer

21 program code which is a candidate for Web enablement.

22

23 Another aspect of a preferred embodiment of the present invention scans and parses

24 source code corresponding to computer program code to determine static information

25 concerning the computer program code, and stores the static information in a database.

26

27 Another aspect of a preferred embodiment of the present invention collects dynamic

28 information concerning the computer program code during an execution of the computer

29 program code, and stores the dynamic information in the database.

1   Another aspect of a preferred embodiment of the present invention discovers or

2   develops relationships and dependencies responsive to the static information and dynamic

3   information stored in the database, and stores the relationships and dependencies in the

4   database.

5

6   Another aspect of a preferred embodiment of the present invention queries the database

7   to produce a set of potential candidates of computer program code meeting a constraint of the

8   query. If insufficient candidates are returned by the query, then the query constraint may be

9   relaxed, and the query repeated.

10

11   Another aspect of a preferred embodiment of the present invention identifies a

12   candidate for re-implementation as a database stored procedure call by a query searching the

13   database for a portion of the computer program code having static information indicating that

14   the portion of the computer program code contains a number, above a specified first threshold,

15   of calls to a database management system, and having dynamic information indicating that the

16   portion of the computer program code is subject to a number of calls, above a specified second

17   threshold, by another portion of computer program code.

18

19   Another aspect of a preferred embodiment of the present invention identifies a

20   candidate for re-implementation as a Web-enabling interface call by a query searching the

21   database for a portion of the computer program code having static information indicating that

22   the portion of the computer program contains a transaction and does not contain screen output

23   related program code.

1   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the advantage of promoting reuse

2   of an existing application program's business logic, resources, or other assets.

3

4   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the advantage of promoting

5   impact analysis and application understanding of an existing application program.

6

7   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the further advantage of

8   promoting reuse of an existing application program's business logic to link the existing

9   business logic with the Web via a connector to form a new Web application program.

10

11   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the further advantage of providing

12   improved analysis, identification, and isolation of the business logic of an application program.

13

14   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the further advantage of reducing

15   or eliminating labor intensive efforts in the creation of a Web connector through the analysis,

16   identification, and isolation of the business logic.

17

18   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the further advantage of

19   promoting the use of an enterprise's existing programming skills.

20

21   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the further advantage of providing

22   an application knowledge base, a database containing static information, dynamic information,

23   and relationships and dependencies of computer program code, which may be queried to

24   identify candidate computer program code.

25

26   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the further advantage of providing

27   identification of computer program code which is a candidate for stored procedures.

28

29   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the further advantage of providing

1   identification of computer program code which is a candidate for Web enablement.

2

3   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the further advantage of providing

4   an improved user interface for identification of computer program code which is a candidate

5   for Web enablement or stored procedures.

6

7   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the further advantage of providing

8   improved usability in a tool for identification of computer program code which is a candidate

9   for Web enablement or stored procedures.

10

11   A preferred embodiment of the present invention has the further advantage of providing

12   improved functionality in a tool for identification of computer program code which is a

13   candidate for Web enablement or stored procedures.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

1
2
3   For a more complete understanding of the present invention and the advantages thereof,
4 reference is now made to the Description of the Preferred Embodiment in conjunction with the
5 attached Drawings, in which:
6
7   **Figure 1** is a block diagram of a distributed computer system used in performing the
8 method of the present invention, forming part of the apparatus and computer program product
9 of the present invention, and which may use the article of manufacture and computer program
10 product comprising a computer-readable storage medium having a computer program
11 embodied in said medium which may cause the computer system to practice the present
12 invention;
13
14   **Figure 2** is a block diagram of a preferred embodiment of the present invention;
15
16   **Figure 3** illustrates a preferred embodiment of the static scanner or parser portion of the
17 preferred embodiment of the present invention;
18
19   **Figure 4** illustrates a preferred embodiment of the dynamic scanner or execution
20 monitor parser portion of the preferred embodiment of the present invention;
21
22   **Figure 5** illustrates a preferred embodiment of the relationship analyzer portion of the
23 preferred embodiment of the present invention; and
24
25   **Figure 6** is a flowchart illustrating the operations preferred in carrying out the preferred
26 embodiment of the present invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

An embodiment of the invention is now described with reference to the figures where like reference numbers indicate identical or functionally similar elements. Also in the figures, the left most digit of each reference number corresponds to the figure in which the reference number is first used.   While specific configurations and arrangements are discussed, it should be understood that this is done for illustrative purposes only. A person skilled in the relevant art will recognize that other configurations and arrangements can be used without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. It will be apparent to a person skilled in the relevant art that this invention can also be employed in a variety of other devices and applications.

Referring first to **Figure 1**, there is depicted a graphical representation of a data processing system **8**, which may be utilized to implement the present invention. As may be seen, data processing system **8** may include a plurality of networks, such as Local Area Networks (LAN) **10** and **32**, each of which preferably includes a plurality of individual computers **12** and **30**, respectively. Alternatively, networks **10** and **32** may be intranets or portions of the internet. Of course, those skilled in the art will appreciate that a plurality of Intelligent Work Stations (IWS) coupled to a host processor may be utilized for each such network. Each said network may also consist of a plurality of processors coupled via a communications medium, such as shared memory, shared storage, or an interconnection network. As is common in such data processing systems, each individual computer may be coupled to a storage device **14** and/or a printer/output device **16** and may be provided with a pointing device such as a mouse **17**.

The data processing system **8** may also include multiple mainframe computers, such as mainframe computer **18**, which may be preferably coupled to LAN **10** by means of communications link **22**. The mainframe computer **18** may also be coupled to a storage device **20** which may serve as remote storage for LAN **10**. Similarly, LAN **10** may be coupled via communications link **24** through a sub-system control unit/communications controller **26** and

1 communications link **34** to a gateway server **28**. The gateway server **28** may be an IWS which

2 serves to link LAN **32** to LAN **10**. Preferably, server **28** is a web application server which

3 passes transactions from a requester **30** on the internet **32** to the mainframe **18** upon which a

4 back-end application serving the transaction is executing.

5

6   With respect to LAN **32** and LAN **10**, a plurality of documents or resource objects may

7 be stored within storage device **20** and controlled by mainframe computer **18**, as resource

8 manager or library service for the resource objects thus stored. Of course, those skilled in the

9 art will appreciate that mainframe computer **18** may be located a great geographic distance

10 from LAN **10** and similarly, LAN **10** may be located a substantial distance from LAN **32**. For

11 example, LAN **32** may be located in California while LAN **10** may be located within North

12 Carolina and mainframe computer **18** may be located in New York.

13

14   Software program code which employs the present invention is typically stored in the

15 memory of a storage device **14** of a stand alone workstation or LAN server from which a

16 developer may access the code for distribution purposes, the software program code may be

17 embodied on any of a variety of known media for use with a data processing system such as a

18 diskette or CD-ROM or may be distributed to users from a memory of one computer system

19 over a network of some type to other computer systems for use by users of such other systems.

20 Such techniques and methods for embodying software code on media and/or distributing

21 software code are well-known and will not be further discussed herein.

22

23   As will be appreciated upon reference to the foregoing, it is often desirable for a user

24 to link an application program on the mainframe **18** to the internet **32** and/or World Wide Web

25 (Web), where the application program was not originally designed for Web or internet based

26 transactions. For such Web-enablement, the user needs to identify call interfaces of the

27 application program which conventionally is a manual process. If this application program has

28 a database dependency, then it may also be desirable for this user to identify call interfaces or

29 computer program code which may be re-implemented as a database stored procedure call. A

1   preferred embodiment of the present invention assists a user in performing such an

2   identification of computer program code which is a candidate for a particular purpose, such as

3   re-implementation. Alternative embodiments identify candidates for re-implementation such as

4   Web enablement or a stored procedure.

5

6   Referring now to **Figure 2** illustrating a block diagram of a preferred embodiment of

7   the present invention, the preferred embodiment comprises a database **215** (Application

8   Knowledge Base) for storing static information **220**, dynamic information **225**, and

9   relationships and dependencies **250** corresponding to computer code in application inventory

10   **205**. The database in the preferred embodiment is an IBM® DB2® UDB (Universal

11   Database); however, other relational or non-relational (object, network, hierarchal, flat, . . .)

12   databases may be used in addition to either persistent or in-memory databases without

13   departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention. (IBM® and DB2® are registered

14   trademarks of International Business Machines Corporation in the United States, other

15   countries, or both.) The static information **220** concerning the computer program code **205** is

16   determined by a scanner, parser, or other software analysis tool **210**. An execution monitor or

17   scanner **230** collects dynamic information **225** concerning the computer program code **205**

18   during an execution **235** of the computer program code. The static information **220** and the

19   dynamic information **225** are analyzed by an relationship analyzer **245** to discover and/or

20   develop relationships and dependencies **250** responsive to the static information **220** and

21   dynamic information **225**. A query **255** of the Application Knowledge Base **215** may then

22   produce a set of potential candidates **260** of computer program code meeting a constraint of the

23   query. The query facility of the preferred embodiment is IBM net.Data, a dynamic HTML

24   (HyperText Markup Language) page generator; however, other query facilities may be used

25   such as a Java servlet or a Java data bean. (Java and all Java-based trademarks are trademarks

26   of Sun Microsystems, Inc. in the United States, other countries, or both.)

27

28   **Figure 3** illustrates a preferred embodiment **300** of the static scanner, parser, or other

29   analysis tool **210** which collects the static information **220** concerning the computer program

1   code **205**. The static scanner **300** may comprise multiple static analysis tools. In the preferred

2   embodiment, the static scanner **300** comprises a control/environment parsing tool **305**, a

3   transaction parsing tool **310**, a program source parsing tool **315**, a database parsing tool **320**,

4   and an executable/ load module parsing tool **325**. The preferred control/environment parsing

5   tool **305** is a JCL (Job Control Language) parsing tool which analyzes JCL **330** to provide

6   program execution, dataset creation and use, and database use **335**.   An IBM Customer

7   Information Control System (CICS®) parsing tool and an IBM Information Management

8   System (IMS) parsing tool are preferred transaction parsing tools **310** providing program

9   execution, dataset and database use **340** from analyzing transaction definitions and file

10   definitions **345**. (CICS® is a registered trademark of International Business Machines

11   Corporation in the United States, other countries, or both.) Application program source **350**

12   may be analyzed by the program source parsing tool **315** to provide data record definitions,

13   data store I/O, call relationships, and data element use **355**. A database parsing tool for IMS

14   DBD (Data Base Definition) and IMS PSB (Program Specification Block) and a database

15   parsing tool to analyze DB2 table information are preferred for the database parsing tool **320**

16   which analyzes database definitions **360** to provide linkages **365** between the application

17   program source and databases such as IMS, PSB, and DB2 among others. The executable/load

18   module parsing tool **325** analyzes load modules **370** to provide module, CSECT (control

19   section), translator, and translation date **375**.

20

21   **Figure 4** illustrates a preferred embodiment **400** of the execution monitor or dynamic

22   scanner **230** which collects dynamic information **225** concerning the computer program code

23   **205** during an execution **235** of the computer program code. The dynamic scanner **400** may

24   also comprise multiple dynamic analysis tools, comprising in the preferred embodiment

25   a coverage and performance measurement tool **410** and an inter-dependency tool **420**. The

26   coverage and performance measurement tool **410** analyzes the execution **430** of an application

27   program run unit and compilation unit to determine the coverage for single or multiple test

28   cases **440** and to measure performance **450**. A preferred inter-dependency tool **420** is a CICS

29   Inter-dependencies Utility which dynamically captures resource usage, transaction flow **460**

1   from a CICS region **470**.   The execution monitor in the preferred embodiment is an IBM

2   CICS Affinities Utility and the IBM CICS Interdependency Utility; however, those skilled in

3   the art will recognize other execution monitors may be used without departing from the spirit

4   and scope of the invention.

5

6   **Figure 5** illustrates a preferred embodiment **500** of the relationship analyzer **245** which

7   discovers and/or develops relationships and dependencies **250** responsive to the static

8   information **220** and dynamic information **225**. In the preferred embodiment, the relationship

9   analyzer **500** provides automatic, generalized, inter-relationship analysis by performing

10   IMS DL/I (Information Management System Data Language 1) call parameter analysis **510**,

11   call hierarchy expansion **520**, load module to source relationship mapping **530**, CICS

12   pseudo-conversation flow analysis **540**, missing and duplicate component analysis **550**, and un-

13   referenced component analysis **560**.

14

15   Referring now to **Figure 6**, the flowchart illustrates the operations preferred in carrying

16   out the preferred embodiment of the present invention. In the flowcharts, the graphical

17   conventions of a diamond for a test or decision and a rectangle for a process or function are

18   used. These conventions are well understood by those skilled in the art, and the flowcharts are

19   sufficient to enable one of ordinary skill to write code in any suitable computer programming

20   language. The process **600** of identifying computer program code which is a candidate for a

21   particular purpose, such as re-implementation for Web enablement or stored procedures begins

22   at process block **605**. Process block **610** then uses static scanner **210** to scan or parse the

23   application inventory **205** retaining static information **220** concerning the artifacts of the

24   application program. Thereafter, process block **615** stores the static information **220** in the

25   Application Knowledge Base **215**. Process block **620** augments the Application Knowledge

26   Base **215** with dynamic information **225** obtained by an execution scanner or monitor **230**

27   which collects the dynamic information **225** during the execution of an application program

28   executable **235** produced by an assembler, compiler, or interpreter **240** from the computer

29   program source code. After collection of the static information **220** and dynamic information

1   225, process block 625 uses relationship analyzer 245 to discover and develop relationships

2   and dependencies 250 within the Application Knowledge Base 215, and then stores these

3   relationships and dependencies 250 in the Application Knowledge Base 215. Thereafter,

4   process block 630 may query 255 the application knowledge base 215 to produce a set of

5   potential candidates 260 of computer program code meeting a constraint of the query.

6

7   For example, to determine which applications may benefit by being re-implemented as

8   a database stored procedure, the query may search for routines containing SQL (Structured

9   Query Language) statements that are the targets of a number of CALLs where the number is

10 above a specified threshold. Thus the present invention provides a capability of identifying

11 more likely candidates as opposed to the conventional manual process of looking for routines

12 that contain a large amount of SQL. To determine which application programs are candidates

13 for a Web interface under CICS, the query may search for CICS transactions that contain a

14 COMMAREA (communication area) linkage and that are void of BMS (Basic Mapping

15 Support) MAP send and/or receive statements (i.e., that lack screen I/O (input output)).

16

17 After the results of the query are returned, decision block 635 determines if sufficient

18 candidates are identified and returned. If a sufficient number of candidates are not discovered,

19 then process block 645 allows relaxing the query constraints, after which control returns to

20 process block 630 to query the application knowledge base 215 with the relaxed constraints.

21

22 Returning now to decision block 635, if sufficient candidates are identified, the process

23 may end at process block 640.

24

25 Using the foregoing specification, the invention may be implemented using standard

26 programming and/or engineering techniques using computer programming software, firmware,

27 hardware or any combination or sub-combination thereof. Any such resulting program(s),

28 having computer readable program code means, may be embodied within one or more

29 computer usable media such as fixed (hard) drives, disk, diskettes, optical disks, magnetic tape,

1   semiconductor memories such as Read-Only Memory (ROM), Programmable Read-Only

2   Memory (PROM), etc., or any memory or transmitting device, thereby making a computer

3   program product, i.e., an article of manufacture, according to the invention. The article of

4   manufacture containing the computer programming code may be made and/or used by

5   executing the code directly or indirectly from one medium, by copying the code from one

6   medium to another medium, or by transmitting the code over a network.   An apparatus for

7   making, using, or selling the invention may be one or more processing systems including, but

8   not limited to, central processing unit (CPU), memory, storage devices, communication links,

9   communication devices, servers, input/output (I/O) devices, or any sub-components or

10   individual parts of one or more processing systems, including software, firmware, hardware or

11   any combination or sub-combination thereof, which embody the invention as set forth in the

12   claims.

13

14   User input may be received from the keyboard, mouse, pen, voice, touch screen, or any

15   other means by which a human can input data to a computer, including through other programs

16   such as application programs.

17

18   One skilled in the art of computer science will easily be able to combine the software

19   created as described with appropriate general purpose or special purpose computer hardware to

20   create a computer system and/or computer sub-components embodying the invention and to

21   create a computer system and/or computer sub-components for carrying out the method of the

22   invention. Although the present invention has been particularly shown and described with

23   reference to a preferred embodiment, it should be apparent that modifications and adaptations

24   to that embodiment may occur to one skilled in the art without departing from the spirit or

25   scope of the present invention as set forth in the following claims.